Minutes of the Monthly Planning Meeting of Tollesbury Parish Council held in The Centre on Tuesday 15th March 2022 commencing at 7.30 pm.

Present: Cllrs Bell, Chambers, Goldie, Hawes, Lowther, Rogers, St Joseph

In the Chair: Cllr Plater

Clerk: Michelle Curtis

Also present: District Councillor Stephens

2 Member of the Public

1. Apologies for Absence

There were apologies for absence from Cllr Clare.

2. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest disclosed.

3. Public Forum

A resident read the following questions on behalf of a resident who was unable to attend the meeting:

1) Ukraine

Has the Parish Council already made a donation to DEC, and if not, please could they consider a making a contribution.

The Clerk reported that the Parish Council has not donated to DEC.

2) Bus Depot

At the Parish Council Meeting on Tuesday 16th November 2021, as planning application 21/0343 had been refused by Maldon District Council, the Chairman proposed another meeting with the applicant to see if there was a way forward.

At the Parish Council Meeting Tuesday 7th December 2021 I asked for an update, and the Clerk was actioned to arrange a meeting.

Three months on and I've not heard anything on a follow up meeting, has/will a meeting be arranged, or are we just going to let the planning application process take it's turn i.e., an appeal as they still have a couple of months to go, or another application.

The Clerk advised following both meetings mentioned, she had emailed the Developer but there had been no response.

Action: Clerk to try to make contact to the Developer again.

3) Defibrillator

At the Parish Council Meeting Tuesday 1st February 2022 it was reported that an additional defibrillator was to be installed at the British Legion, has it been installed yet? And will details be posted on the Parish Council Website and Facebook Site.

The Clerk confirmed that the additional defibrillator had been installed at the British Legion. The British Legion has agreed to take responsibility for the checking/maintenance of the equipment. The equipment has also been registered with the relevant authority.

The Clerk will arrange for the Parish Council website to be updated. There is not a list of defibrillators on the Parish Council Facebook page. The Clerk had shared the Facebook post from the Tollesbury Community Page & Neighbourhood Watch advising residents of the new equipment.

4) TruCam

Agenda Item 8 refers to TruCam used by the CPOs; however, the Parish Council Meeting on Tuesday 18th January 2022 indicates that TruCam patrols have been suspended. What is the current situation?

The Clerk advised TruCam is not a service regularly used by the Parish Council as the roads suggested for TruCam patrols do not meet the criteria of Essex Police. The CPO TruCam Patrols restarted on 1st February 2022, following the review by the Chief Constable.

5) Agenda Item 11 TV Licence

Why is it necessary to purchase a TV licence for the Pavilion, and could the monies be better used towards a donation to the Ukraine appeals?

The Clerk advised it is necessary to purchase a TV Licence as the football teams will use the TV after their matches on a Saturday. The Hangout will also use the TV.

The law states you need to be covered by a TV Licence to:

- watch or record programmes as they're being shown on TV, on any channel
- watch or stream programmes live on an online TV service (such as ITV Hub, All 4, YouTube, Amazon Prime Video, Now TV, Sky Go, etc.)
- download or watch any BBC programmes on BBC iPlayer.

This applies to any device you use, including a TV, desktop computer, laptop, mobile phone, tablet, games console, digital box or DVD/VHS recorder.

6) WiFi

Would the Parish Council consider providing WiFi in the Pavilion?

The Clerk advised that the Parish Council agreed to provide WiFi in the Pavilion several years ago. The Parish Council signed up to County Broadband, which could supply WiFi without the need to sign up for a telephone line. On the day of installation, County Broadband could not proceed, as the aerial would not have been in the line of sight of The Centre where the primary signal was.

The Parish Council does have a mobile WiFi device, and the Clerk had purchased a SIM, so it is hoped that WiFi will be available when the Parish Council meetings return to the Pavilion in April.

7) Brought Forward / Carried Forward Actions Schedule

Will, the Parish Council, consider publishing an Actions Schedule with both the Agenda and Meeting Minutes in order to have an up-to-date document of actions brought forward and carried forward, e.g. the Bus Depot would have been identified as an outstanding action on all Action Schedules subsequent to the 16th November 2021.

To be considered further by the Parish Council.

4. County Councillors and District Councillors

Cllr Stephens reported:

- The Chairman, Cllrs Rogers and St Joseph, the Parish Clerk and herself had met the previous evening to discuss ideas to address the various road safety issues in the Parish.
 - The draft application to the Local Highways Panel is being amended and will be circulated to Councillors in due course.
- Would it be good to use the draft policies in the Neighbourhood Plan document when considering planning applications?

Action: To be discussed further by the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group when they next meet.

5. Minutes

Resolved: the Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held on 1st March 2022 be approved as a true and accurate record of the meeting. Proposed Cllr Lowther, seconded Cllr Goldie. Unanimously agreed.

The Chairman signed the Minutes.

6. Payments

The items for payment totalling £2,030.92 were presented for approval (Appendix A). **Resolved:** to approve payments and make online payments.

7. Planning

7.1 Planning Applications

Application No: FUL/MAL/21/00992 PP-10238142

Proposal: Erection of Agricultural Barn

Location: Guisnes Lodge Back Road Tolleshunt D'Arcy

Resolved: Unanimously agreed to recommend refusal of this application due to the following:

- Bulk, mass and size of the proposal
- There is no Visual Landscape Assessment to accompany the application.
- There is no reference to Planning Application FUL/MAL/22/00026, which Maldon District Council is currently considering. The two applications would impact each other.

7.2 Planning Decisions from Maldon District Council

HOUSE/MAL/21/01128 - 59 East Street - Refused

FUL/MAL/21/01117 - Servowatch Systems Limited Blackwater House Woodrolfe Road - Approved

- 7.3 Planning Appeals None received
- 7.4 Planning Appeal Decisions None received
- 7.5 Tree Preservation Orders for information None received

8. Community Protection Officers (CPOs)

Resolved: to continue with the services of the Maldon District Council CPOs for 2022/23 at a rate of £35.94 per hour. Requirements are as follows:

3 hours per month – Dog Fouling/Litter Patrols, Anti-Social Behaviour Patrol, School Parking Patrol, On-Street Parking Patrol, Community Engagement.

An additional 1.5 hours per week at Woodup Pool during the school summer holiday.

9. Queens Jubilee

9.1 Queen's Jubilee Celebrations

The Clerk reported that the volunteers would be meeting on Monday 21st March 2022 at 7 pm in the Committee Room at the British Legion.

The Draft Framework for the Queen's Jubilee Celebrations was received and noted.

9.2 Commemorative Plaque

Resolved: to purchase a commemorative plaque for the tree planting for the Queen's Green Canopy Project at the cost of approx. £400. Unanimously agreed.

10. Environment Agency – Tidal Flooding

The information from Cllr St Joseph was received and noted.

Councillors agreed to ask David Kemp from the Environment Agency to attend a meeting to explain to the Parish Council about threshold levels and associated procedures for the flood barriers to be closed.

11. Pavilion

Resolved: to purchase a TV License costing £159 for the Pavilion. Unanimously agreed.

12. Administration

<u>Maldon District Council</u> – The Clerk reported that she had submitted the response to the Maldon District Council Local Development Plan on behalf of the Parish Council (Appendix B).

<u>Graffiti</u> – The Clerk advised that there had been graffiti on the Pavilion the previous weekend. A resident had also reported that the doors on the toilets at Woodrolfe Green had also been graffitied. One of the entry doors on Monday 14th March during the daytime and the other door around 2nd March 2022. The incidents had been reported to Essex Police via online reporting.

Action: to be discussed by the Youth Working Group.

Action: Clerk to send a picture of the graffiti tags to Plume School and Thrustable School to see if they recognise the graffiti tags, which will help identify those doing it.

13. Community Concerns – Information Exchange/Next Agenda Items Cllr Lowther reported:

 A resident reported on Facebook that a vehicle had driven down East Street on Saturday evening and had smashed into some cars. It is alleged that the driver had been drink-driving. The Chairman reported:

 The Annual Assembly is on Friday 8th April 2022 in the Centre. Representatives from the Mersea Harbour Protection Trust will attend and give a presentation on the coastal works affecting the Parish.

14.	Dates	of the	Next	Meetings
-----	--------------	--------	------	----------

Tuesday 5th April 2022 – Full Council Meeting – 7.30 pm - Pavilion

Tuesday 12th April 2022 – Woodup Pool Committee Meeting – 7.30 pm at Woodup Pool

Tuesday 19th April – Full Council Meeting – 7.30 pm - Pavilion

Tuesday 19th April 2022 – Environment & Amenity Committee Meeting – following the Full Council Meeting – Pavilion

Tuesday 3rd May 2022 – Annual Statutory Meeting – 7.30 pm – Pavilion

The Chairman closed the meeting at 9.05 pm.

Signed	Date

TOLLESBURY PARISH COUNCIL PAYMENTS FOR APPROVAL

15th March 2022

Date	Cheque No.	Payee	Invoice No/Ref	Expenditure Detail		Amount
		CO-0	PERATIVE B	ANK - CURRENT ACCOUNT	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
15.03.22	D/D	Bulb		Electricity Supply - Pavilion		£43.61
	l.	co-c	PERATIVE A	CCOUNT - ACCOUNT No. 2	'	
04.03.22 05.03.22 15.03.22 15.03.22 16.03.22	D/C D/C D/C D/C D/C	Viking Amazon GiffGaff Home Bargains Adobe	8553121	Stationery HDMI Cable Top-Up - Data SIM for Pavilion Cleaning Materials Adobe Monthly Subscription		£54.97 £12.59 £10.00 £23.15 £12.64
15.03.22	Online Online Online Online Online	Wages Essex Pension Fund Bonz EALC Maldon District Council Viking Skips Ltd	Wages 4571 4584 14125	INK - CURRENT ACCOUNT Staff Wages Employee and Employer Contributions - March Removal of Play Equipment and install dog bin Clear damaged trees - Storm Youth Engagement Conference - Clerk Replacement dog bin (near Allotments) Civic Amenity Skip	£510.00 £550.00	£321.64 £155.30 £1,060.00 £60.00 £109.02 £168.00
<u></u>			ı	<u> </u>	TOTAL	£2,030.92

 Payment Breakdown

 Tollesbury Current Account
 £43.61

 Tollesbury A/c No. 2 Debit Card
 £113.35

 Unity Trust Bank
 £1,873.96

 TOTAL
 £2,030.92

View Response

Response Details

From Tollesbury Parish Council

Date Started: 14 Mar 2022 08:09. Last modified: 14 Mar 2022 14:48

Status Complete

Response ID #1086708

PLEASE READ FIRST

Making Your Comments

There are 60 questions associated with the Issues and Options Document. You do not have to complete them all for your response to be valid, so please feel free to skip those you are not interested in.

You also do not have to complete the questions in one sitting - we appreciate you may want time to reflect on what your response should be. If you wish to complete the questions gradually you can do, but remember to save your inputted responses by clicking the <u>Finish</u> button at the bottom of the list of questions, which saves them. You may see that this means skipping past un-answered questions to reach the bottom of the page, but you can always come back to these another time if you want to. By clicking <u>Finish</u>, you will save your answers and you can return and complete it another time.

Saving Your Responses

Please note also that if you leave the page without clicking **Finish**, for example by navigating away from the page using the back or forward buttons, or your internet connection suddenly drops out, the system will not keep your responses as they will not have been saved/stored. We therefore also recommend that you click **Finish** periodically to gradually save your submission so that you do not lose everything that you have written.

Question 1.

Do you agree with this spatial picture of the District? Please click here to view the Spatial

Portrait
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 2.
Are these issues still relevant? Please click here to view the Key Issues
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 3.
Do you consider these to be the extent of strategic and cross boundary issues applicable for
the Maldon District? Please click here to view the Strategic Issues
Yes

No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
Needs to reference the maritime environment which is an important element to Tollesbury.
Question 4.
Question 4.
Are these key issues the right ones or are there any key issues that you think have been missed? Please click here to view the Issues
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 5.
Do you agree with the amended Vision? Please click here to view the Spatial Vision
Yes
○ No

Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 6.
Do you agree with the objectives for the review of the LDP? Please click here to view the Objectives
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
«No response»
«No response» Question 7.
Question 7. Are there other objectives that the LDP needs to aim to achieve? Please click here to view
Question 7. Are there other objectives that the LDP needs to aim to achieve? Please click here to view the Objectives

Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 8.
Do you agree with the Council using the standard methodology in the calculation of its housing target for the period 2023 – 2043? Please click here to view Housing Needs and the LDP Local Housing Needs Assessment 2021
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments - please note that not setting a housing target is not an
option for the Council and would result in a LDP being found unsound at examination
«No response»
Question 9.
Should the Council have a contingency or buffer figure in its housing target to ensure that it always has a continuous supply of housing over the plan period and if so what should that buffer be? Please click here to view Housing Needs and LDP Local Housing Needs Assessment 2021
Yes
○ No
On't know

Please enter any additional comments «No response»
Question 10. Should the plan period be longer than 15 years, should the period be 20 years, so that infrastructure can be planned in over a longer period? Please click here to view the Housing Needs and LDP Housing Evidence Yes No Don't know
Please enter any additional comments «No response»
Question 11. Do you agree with the updated Settlement Pattern and how the settlements in the District have been grouped together? Please click here to view the Settlement Pattern and LDP Settlement Evidence Yes No Don't know

Question 12.

If you do not agree, how should they be grouped on what basis? Please click here to view the Settlement Pattern

«No response»

Question 13.

Referring to the table on the Council's website which sets out all the services and facilities for each settlement – are there any comments about this or matters which need to be altered or changed? See Updated Rural Facilities Study 2021 spreadsheet

For Tollesbury

Recycling - there is a fabric collection and a general waste collection with other recycling mentioned (i.e. one Saturday a fortnight)

Under Village Hall/Church Hall - Tollesbury has four halls available for hire - The Pavilion, the Parish Rooms, The Centre (in the Congregational Church) and the Activity Centre on Woodrolfe Road

Industrial or Business Park, Beckingham Business Park (third largest in the District) should be added.

Proximity to other settlements - should the distances to Chelmsford City and Colchester be shown? Both are used for shopping, cinema, restaurants etc. There are pupils in secondary schools in Colchester.

Local wildlife sites - Essex Wildlife Trust and RSPB.

There are two burial grounds - one at St Mary's Church and the other run by the Parish Council at the west end of the village. Tollesbury is unique in having a saltwater amenity pool used by the village and the district. Pubs/Restaurants should include two sailing clubs and the British Legion. No mention of a marina. Shops - no longer Pre-Loved shop but is now a Paddleboard shop. A small weekly market developing which include the Cheese & Pie Stall, The Refill Den (zero -waste - dry goods it rice. spices etc), fish stall. Greengrocers three times a week. Question 14. Do you agree with the approach set out above for major infrastructure projects? Please click here to view in Options for Growth Yes No Please enter any additional comments «No response»

Question 15.

Which growth option do you consider to be the most appropriate for the District of Maldon? Please set out your reason for this view (please clearly set out which option (s) 1 to 7 you are discussing). Please click here to view the Options for Growth

The Parish believes that new development is better as a satellite/add-on with new infrastructure, rather than a large development in the remaining green spaces in the centre and east of our village. Ideally the best situation is development bolted on to larger urban settlements, where new infrastructure facilities are more achievable e.g providing a new school in the District.

Question 16.

Do you believe that there is another suitable growth option for the District, perhaps a combination of any of the above? Please set out your views. Please click here to view the Options for Growth

	V	്മ
\		٠.



Please enter any additional comments

«No response»

Question 17.

Do you think it is appropriate to include in the LDP Review a policy dealing with major infrastructure projects such as the Bradwell B Nuclear Power Station, to be activated if this type of project comes forward? Please click here to view the Options for Growth

Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 18.
Would you consider the delivery of housing appropriate on areas of land where there are disused agricultural buildings, or derelict land in or adjacent to large, medium and small villages? Please click here to check the relevant villages and here to view the Effective Use of Land
Yes
No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»

Question 19.

Housing can be delivered in larger quantities, but using less greenfield land, by building at higher densities. Would you consider this appropriate if sites with higher densities were designed to ensure they achieved a high quality of design? Please click here to view

the Effective Use of Land
Yes
○ No
Don't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 20.
Question 20.
Do you agree with building at higher densities in all settlements. What would you think was appropriate in terms of housing and higher densities? Please click here to view the Effective Use of Land
Yes
No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»

Question 21.

Would you support minimum density standards to uplift the delivery of housing and ensure land in Maldon District was used as efficiently as possible, or do you think design, or other

factors should dictate density on housing sites? Please click here to view Effective Use of Land
Yes
No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 22.
Would you consider higher density housing appropriate in large, medium and small villages, if the design was to a higher standard and the character of the settlement was still respected? Please click here to check the relevant settlements and here to view Effective Use of Land
Yes
○ No
Don't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»

Question 23.
Is it appropriate to develop land for housing that has been previously used for commercial uses such as employment and retail which is otherwise vacant, underused and derelict? Please click here to view Effective Use of Land
Yes
○ No
Don't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 24.
It is difficult for Maldon District to have a policy that states that brownfield land should be developed first for housing because of the lack of brownfield land sites in the District. This could also inadvertently lead to the redevelopment of active employment land to residential the consequences of this could lead to job losses and a decline in employment sites.
Therefore, instead of 'brownfield land first', should the Council instead place a <i>greater emphasis</i> on encouraging the development of brownfield land for housing? This may mean less affordable housing and other benefits comes forward, but it could redevelop sites which are an eyesore and blight on settlements and residents. Please click here to view Effective Use of Land
Yes
YesNo
○ No

Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 25.
Should the medium and small settlements retain some form of a settlement boundary, albeit more flexibly drawn? Please click here to check the relevant settlements and here to view Large, Medium & Small Villages
Yes
○ No
Don't know
Please enter any additional comments
who weekened
«No response»
«No response»
Question 26.
Question 26. Should the Council develop a windfall policy for all or specific settlements, potentially capping the number of units for each site coming forward and ensuring the protection for key views, green infrastructure gaps and the historic environment in each village. Please click here to check the relevant settlements and here to view Large, Medium & Small
Question 26. Should the Council develop a windfall policy for all or specific settlements, potentially capping the number of units for each site coming forward and ensuring the protection for key views, green infrastructure gaps and the historic environment in each village. Please click here to check the relevant settlements and here to view Large, Medium & Small Villages
Question 26. Should the Council develop a windfall policy for all or specific settlements, potentially capping the number of units for each site coming forward and ensuring the protection for key views, green infrastructure gaps and the historic environment in each village. Please click here to check the relevant settlements and here to view Large, Medium & Small Villages Yes

Please enter any additional comments «No response»
Question 27. In the event of an increase of need, should the Council look to establish both private and public sites for Gypsies and Travellers in the future, recognising that not all needs can be catered by one tenure of provision? Please click here to view Gypsies & Travellers Yes No Don't know
Please enter any additional comments «No response»
Question 28. In the event of an increase of need, should the Council seek to intensify or expand existing Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites as much as possible, where it is suitable to do so? Please click here to view Gypsies & Travellers Yes No Don't know

Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 29.
In the event of an increase of need, should the Council consider how it could use its Settlement Pattern, Rural Exception Sites and/or Windfall Policy (if implemented) to support the increased provision of new sites in sustainable locations that can serve the community's needs better? Please click here to view Gypsies & Travellers
Yes
○ No
Don't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 30.
Is the anything else the Council should be considering for homes for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople? Please click here to view Gypsies & Travellers
«No response»

Question 31.
Should the Council seek a proportion of self-build/custom build plots on larger housing sites. Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 32.
Question 32.
In addition to the above, should the Plan also allocate specific sites in the LDP Review exclusively for self-build/custom build, either put forward by people who want to self-build or caveated by policy that they can only be brought forward by self-builders. Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build
In addition to the above, should the Plan also allocate specific sites in the LDP Review exclusively for self-build/custom build, either put forward by people who want to self-build or caveated by policy that they can only be brought forward by self-builders. Please click
In addition to the above, should the Plan also allocate specific sites in the LDP Review exclusively for self-build/custom build, either put forward by people who want to self-build or caveated by policy that they can only be brought forward by self-builders. Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build
In addition to the above, should the Plan also allocate specific sites in the LDP Review exclusively for self-build/custom build, either put forward by people who want to self-build or caveated by policy that they can only be brought forward by self-builders. Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build Yes
In addition to the above, should the Plan also allocate specific sites in the LDP Review exclusively for self-build/custom build, either put forward by people who want to self-build or caveated by policy that they can only be brought forward by self-builders. Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build Yes No
In addition to the above, should the Plan also allocate specific sites in the LDP Review exclusively for self-build/custom build, either put forward by people who want to self-build or caveated by policy that they can only be brought forward by self-builders. Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build Yes No
In addition to the above, should the Plan also allocate specific sites in the LDP Review exclusively for self-build/custom build, either put forward by people who want to self-build or caveated by policy that they can only be brought forward by self-builders. Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build Yes No Don't know

Question 33.
Should the Council in the development of a self-build/custom build policy consider ensuring that smaller low cost housing units are encouraged to come forward? Please click here to view Self Build/Custom Build
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 34.
Question 34. Designing beautiful spaces and buildings, how important do you think it is that we should actively plan to create beautiful spaces and buildings? Please click here to view Design
Designing beautiful spaces and buildings, how important do you think it is that we should
Designing beautiful spaces and buildings, how important do you think it is that we should actively plan to create beautiful spaces and buildings? Please click here to view Design
Designing beautiful spaces and buildings, how important do you think it is that we should actively plan to create beautiful spaces and buildings? Please click here to view Design We think it is important.
Designing beautiful spaces and buildings, how important do you think it is that we should actively plan to create beautiful spaces and buildings? Please click here to view Design We think it is important. Question 35.
Designing beautiful spaces and buildings, how important do you think it is that we should actively plan to create beautiful spaces and buildings? Please click here to view Design We think it is important.
Designing beautiful spaces and buildings, how important do you think it is that we should actively plan to create beautiful spaces and buildings? Please click here to view Design We think it is important. Question 35. What do you think about the design policy in the LDP 2017 (Policy D1) and the Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document 2018? Do they need amending? If so, how and

Question 36.
Should the Council seek to endorse the Essex Design Guide with a Maldon District supplementary section? Would this be a more flexible approach to design? Please click here to view Design
Yes
○ No
Don't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 37.
Question 37. Should the Council in its design policy encourage support for modern innovative design and design to counter the effects of climate change? Please click here to view Design
Should the Council in its design policy encourage support for modern innovative design and
Should the Council in its design policy encourage support for modern innovative design and design to counter the effects of climate change? Please click here to view Design
Should the Council in its design policy encourage support for modern innovative design and design to counter the effects of climate change? Please click here to view Design Yes
Should the Council in its design policy encourage support for modern innovative design and design to counter the effects of climate change? Please click here to view Design Yes No
Should the Council in its design policy encourage support for modern innovative design and design to counter the effects of climate change? Please click here to view Design Yes No
Should the Council in its design policy encourage support for modern innovative design and design to counter the effects of climate change? Please click here to view Design Yes No Don't know

Please provide comments below on design matters you consider to be particularly important. We would be especially interested in your views on whether we should include general design guidance on relevant site allocations? Please click here to view Design «No response» Question 39.

Should the LDP Review make climate change one of its key priorities? Please click here to view Climate Change

Ye

		NI
)	No
/		

Don't	know
, , ,	111000

Question 38.

Question 40.

What do you consider to be important in terms of development and climate change? Are on-site renewables such as photovoltaics, ground source heat pumps, etc as important/more important as off-site renewable energy projects such as on-land wind farms, solar farms, district heating networks, etc? Please click here to view Climate Change

Yes they are equally important.

Question 41.

Should we plan for net-zero carbon from plan adoption in 2023? This would require all new development to be net-zero carbon upon adoption of the plan. If yes, would the Council need to bring forward any additional guidance to support this? Please click here to view Climate Change

Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
A more realistic timescale should be adopted.
Question 42.
Should we plan for net-zero carbon from a specific future date? This would require all new development to achieve net zero carbon from a future date in the plan process, set out in policy. It could allow time for the development industry to adjust to the higher standards and may mean we can secure more affordable housing and community benefits from development, earlier on in the plan process. Please click here to view Climate Change
Yes
Question 43.
Should the local plan policies strongly support the economy in terms of a transition to a low carbon economy, ensuring development and growth opportunities are supported through this process? Please click here to view Growing the Economy
Yes
○ No
On't know

Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Ougstion 44
Question 44.
Should the local plan allocate employment land so that it extends existing employment premises / areas in the District by working with existing businesses to ascertain their future need? Please click here to view Growing the Economy
Yes
○ No
On't know
Question 45.
Should the Council contain a policy preventing the redevelopment of employment premises to residential units? If so, should the scope of such a policy be limited in any way? Please explain the rationale for your answer. Please click here to view Growing the Economy
to residential units? If so, should the scope of such a policy be limited in any way? Please
to residential units? If so, should the scope of such a policy be limited in any way? Please explain the rationale for your answer. Please click here to view Growing the Economy
to residential units? If so, should the scope of such a policy be limited in any way? Please explain the rationale for your answer. Please click here to view Growing the Economy Yes
to residential units? If so, should the scope of such a policy be limited in any way? Please explain the rationale for your answer. Please click here to view Growing the Economy Yes No
to residential units? If so, should the scope of such a policy be limited in any way? Please explain the rationale for your answer. Please click here to view Growing the Economy Yes No
to residential units? If so, should the scope of such a policy be limited in any way? Please explain the rationale for your answer. Please click here to view Growing the Economy Yes No Don't know

Question 46.
How important is the rural economy? How do you believe the rural economy can be supported through policy? Please click here to view the Growing the Economy
Very Important
Important
On't know
Not Important
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 47.
Should the Council support the development of live/work housing units? Please click here to view the Growing the Economy
· Van
Yes
No No
○ No
○ No
NoDon't know

Question 48.
Should the nature, size and type of policy support for Maldon and Burnham-on-Crouch`s High Streets' change? How would you like the high street to change? Please click here to view the Growing the Economy
Yes
○ No
Don't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 49.
Do you believe this policy (E5 in LDP 2017) requires modification? If so how would you like to see it changed? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy
to see it changed? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy
to see it changed? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy Yes
to see it changed? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy Yes No
to see it changed? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy Yes No Don't know

Question 51. How could planning policy encourage more visitors to the District other than day visitors? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy «No response» Question 52. Should the Council consider having protected landscape views in the District, even though this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No Don't know	Question 50. Do you believe this policy (E5 LDP 2017) encourages tourism or is it too restrictive? click here to view the Visitor Economy	Please
How could planning policy encourage more visitors to the District other than day visitors? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy «No response» Question 52. Should the Council consider having protected landscape views in the District, even though this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No	«No response»	
How could planning policy encourage more visitors to the District other than day visitors? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy «No response» Question 52. Should the Council consider having protected landscape views in the District, even though this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No		
visitors? Please click here to view the Visitor Economy «No response» Question 52. Should the Council consider having protected landscape views in the District, even though this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No	Question 51.	
Question 52. Should the Council consider having protected landscape views in the District, even though this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No		
Should the Council consider having protected landscape views in the District, even though this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No	«No response»	
Should the Council consider having protected landscape views in the District, even though this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No		
this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view the Environment Yes No	Question 52.	
O No	this may place development pressure elsewhere? Please click here to view	though
	Yes	
On't know	○ No	
	On't know	
Please enter any additional comments	Please enter any additional comments	
«No response»	•	

Question 53. In terms of access and sustainable transport in the District, what is most important to you? Please click here to view Access and Sustainable Transport «No response» Question 54. Should the Council focus future growth on those areas with higher levels of commuter access either by bus or train? Please click here to view Access and Sustainable Transport Yes No Don't know Please enter any additional comments «No response» Question 55. Should all new development be linked to its settlement by a footpath wide enough to accommodate a wheelchair or double buggy/pram? Please click here to view Access and **Sustainable Transport** Yes No Don't know

Please enter any additional comments «No response»
Question 56.
Should development have to demonstrate that it can provide safe footpath/cycle links/connections, which incorporate green infrastructure and support active travel choices? Please click here to view Access and Sustainable Transport
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments «No response»
Question 57.
Should the council continue to explore and support complementary projects delivered outside the planning system that can support travel choice and a shift away from the use of the car in the District? Please click here to view Access and Sustainable Transport
Yes
○ No
On't know

Please enter any additional comments «No response»
Question 58.
Should the Council take into account the advantages of locations which stand to offer the better chance of securing new infrastructure due to critical massing of development? Please click here to view Infrastructure
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»
Question 59.
Affordable housing is often the biggest influence on viability, so should the Council continue to prioritise this policy requirement over other infrastructure contributions or design quality across the District? Please click here to view Infrastructure
Yes
No
On't know

Please enter any additional comments
r lease effici any additional comments
«No response»
Question 60.
Should we consider spare capacity within our existing infrastructure when we determine where new development should go? Please click here to view Infrastructure
Yes
○ No
On't know
Please enter any additional comments
«No response»